CONSULTATION RESPONSE FORM

MCS 006 Wind Product Standard

Thank you for taking the time to comment on this consultation. MCS values the input from all interested parties in the development of its Standards as, without you, we would not be able to define and raise the quality of installations. We would be grateful if you could use this form for your response which helps with collation and consideration of the feedback. The form is in two parts: the first part includes a table where you can make comments on each line/paragraph of the draft document; the second part includes specific questions that will help arrive at a final published version

|  |
| --- |
| Introduction:  This consultation is to seek feedback on MCS 006, Issue 3.0 Product Standard.  The Standard update is part of the MCS Standards project review and addresses:   * Modernised document style and updated to new MCS standard text. * Incorporation of RenewableUK Small Technical Note: Guidance regarding inverter changes in Small Wind Turbine Systems 16th January 2013 |

| Respondent Name: | Company Name: |  |  | Date | Document |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  | MCS 006 Wind Product Standard |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company Name | Paragraph/Table | Comments | Proposed new text | Outcome |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Note: You may add as many additional rows as required to table above.

Consultation Questions

1. MCS are looking to align better with International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) standards (e.g. IEC 61400-2 ). One of the considerations is removing the 50kW limit from the MCS product standard. This would potentially enable better reciprocity with other wind turbine product schemes around the world. Note that this proposed change would only affect the product standard. MCS are not looking to extend the scope of MIS 3003 beyond 50kW. This means that manufacturers could use MCS to certify larger products even though contractors would not be able to use them in an MCS certificated installation.

Do you agree, or consider any issues with this proposal?

|  |
| --- |
|  |