CONSULTATION RESPONSE FORM

MIS 3003 Wind Installation Standard

Thank you for taking the time to comment on this consultation. MCS values the input from all interested parties in the development of its Standards as, without you, we would not be able to define and raise the quality of installations. We would be grateful if you could use this form for your response which helps with collation and consideration of the feedback. The form is in two parts: the first part includes a table where you can make comments on each line/paragraph of the draft document; the second part includes specific questions that will help arrive at a final published version.

|  |
| --- |
| Introduction:  This consultation is to seek feedback on MIS 3003, Issue 4.0 Installation Standard.  The Standard update is part of the MCS Standards project review and addresses:   * Modernised document style and updated to new MCS Standard text. * Updated publications and references. * New maintenance schedule. * Incorporation of document: Energy Efficiency Best Practice in Housing- Installing Small Wind Powered Electricity Generating Systems-Guidance for Installers and Specifiers. CE72 document reference which Energy Saving Trust agreed they have no plans to maintain. |

| Respondent Name: | Company Name: |  |  | Date | Document |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  | MIS 3003 Wind Installation Standard |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company Name | Paragraph/Table | Comments | Proposed new text | Outcome |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Note: You may add as many additional rows as required to table above.

Consultation Questions

1. There are two valid approaches to establish wind speed data for a site
2. Simple modelling – using an established wind speed/direction data set, e.g. Global Wind Atlas (GWA) data.
3. Site monitoring of wind speed and direction with subsequent modelling

The MCS proposal is to allow consumers to select which approach is best for them based on a degree of confidence (including level of cost) they wish to achieve.

Do you agree with this approach? If you do not agree please give reasons.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. MCS plan to provide ‘health warnings’ on which method is used to establish wind speed data for a site. For example:

+/- 30% where simple modelling is used

+/- 10% where site monitoring is used

Do you agree with these figures? If not what would you suggest and why?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. We are proposing to dispense with NOABL as a wind speed data source used in the performance method (because it is no longer maintained) and substituted with another data source such as, the Global Wind Atlas (GWA) or NASA.

Which of these data sources do you consider most suitable?

If neither, can you suggest any alternatives?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. The Working Group took the decision to remove reference to the Building Mounting Wind Turbines from the scope of the Standard.

Do you agree with this?

1. Yes
2. No, if no why?

|  |
| --- |
|  |